(1) (3)

C.f. 100/

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P. NO. ...1772... OF 2003

IN THE MATTER OF

VIRENDRA SINGH JAT AGE 44 YEARS SON OF SHRI LILA DHAR JAT , LECTURER (CHEMISTRY)-HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL NAGARI, DISTRICT DHAMTARI (C.G.) , PERMANENT RESIDENTOF NARSINGHPUR (M.P.), AT PRESENT RESIDINT AT JANGALPARA, NAGARI, DISTRICT DHAMTARI (C.G.)

PETITIONER

VERSUS

1. UNION OF INDIA THROUGH SECRETARY-DEPARTMENT OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCE AND PENSION, KHAN MARKET NEW DELHI

2. STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH, THROUGH SECRETARY, (TRIBAL WELFARE) MANTRALAYA, VALLABH BHAWAN, BHOPAL (M.P.)

P. R. No. 16/7/03
Presented by Shri Malay Kr. Bhaduri
dated 13.06.03

JUN 2003

3. STATE OF CHHATTISGARH, THROUGH SECRETARY (TRIBAL WELFARE) , MANTRALAYA, D.K.S. BHAWAN, G.E. ROAD, RAIPUR (C.G.)

The State Reorganisation Cell
Through Secretary
Vallal-Bhavan Bhopal MP

RESPONDENTS

17/6/03
UOI

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/ 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI AND ANY OTHER ORDER OR DIRECTION AS DEEMED FIT

(23)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri.**

Writ Petition No. 1772 of 2003

Petitioner		Virendra Singh Jat
	Versus	
Respondents		Union of India & others

Shri M. K. Bhaduri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri S. K. Beriwal, Standing counsel for Respondent No.1.

Shri Sachin Singh Rajput for Respondents No. 2 and 4.

Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Panel lawyer for respondent No. 3.

ORAL ORDER

(6th February, 2006)

The following order of the Court was passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, who is working on the post of Lecturer (Chemistry) in the Tribal Welfare Department, is a permanent resident of District-Narsinghpur in the State of Madhya Pradesh. The petitioner has been allocated to the State of Chhattisgarh and he wants his reallocation to the State of Madhya Pradesh. Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instruction, submits that the petitioner be granted liberty to make a representation to the respondents for redressal of his grievances. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents have no objection to the said submission.

2. In view of the foregoing, the petition stands disposed of finally, with liberty to the petitioner to make an appropriate representation to the respondents/authorities concerned, within a period of two weeks from today, and the respondents/authorities are directed to consider and decide the same, in an objective manner, in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the representation, if any.

Certified copy, as per Rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur

13-2-06